## UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION

MDL No. 2804

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

## **CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO -108)**

On December 5, 2017, the Panel transferred 62 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. See 290 F.Supp.3d 1375 (J.P.M.L. 2017). Since that time, 1,687 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Northern District of Ohio. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of Ohio and assigned to Judge Polster.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the <u>Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation</u>, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of Ohio for the reasons stated in the order of December 5, 2017, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Dan A. Polster.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7—day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Aug 28, 2019

CLERK'S OFFICE UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION FOR THE PANEL:

the for his

John W. Nichols Clerk of the Panel

## IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION OPIATE LITIGATION

MDL No. 2804

## SCHEDULE CTO-108 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

<b>DIST</b>	DIV.	C.A.NO.	CASE CAPTION
NORTH DAKOTA			
ND	1	19-00173	Ward County v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
ND	1	19-00174	Stark County v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
ND	3	19-00171	LaMoure County v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
ND	3	19-00172	City of Lisbon v. Purdue Pharma L.P. et al
OKLAHOMA EASTERN			
OKE	6	19-00261	Johnston County Board of County Commissioners v. Purdue Pharma LP et al
OKLAHOMA WESTERN			
OKW	5	19-00728	Stephens County Board of County Commissioners v. Purdue Pharma LP et al
OKW	5	19-00730	Board of County Commissioners for Kiowa County v. Purdue Pharma LP et al
OKW	5	19-00735	Board of County Commissioners for Harper County v. Purdue Pharma LP et al
OKW	5	19-00736	Board of County Commissioners for Dewey County v. Purdue Pharma LP et al
PENNSYLVANIA EASTERN			
PAE	2	<del>19-03611</del>	MOSS v. ENDO PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. et al Opposed 8/28/19
VIRGINIA WESTERN			
<del>VAW</del>	5	<del>19–00056</del>	Shenandoah County, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al Opposed 8/28/19
<del>VAW</del>	<del>5</del>	<del>19-00058</del>	The City of Waynesboro, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al Opposed 8/28/19
<del>VAW</del>	6	19-00054	Cumberland County, Virginia v. Purdue Pharma, L.P. et al Opposed 8/28/19